# Bringing Research and Evidence-Based Practice to Life

Jan Foster, PhD, APRN, CNS, CCRN Jessica Traylor, MSN, RN, CEN

## **Patient Population**

- What high volume patients do you care for on your unit?
  - Medical diagnoses?
  - Nursing diagnoses?
  - Symptoms?
  - Family issues?
- What practice questions have arisen?

#### **Frequent Nursing Interventions**

 What procedures are done frequently on your unit?

# Technology

• What equipment/supplies do you frequently use on your unit?

#### The Iowa Model



#### **Clinical Problem**





### **Practice Changes in My Lifetime**





#### **Trendelenburg Position for Hypotension**

- Physiological effects determined through 5 decades of research
  - CV: slight increased MAP, SVR; 0 increased preload, decreased cardiac output; decreased right ventricular EF; 0 change in O2 delivery, extraction, consumption; +JVD
  - Pulmonary: decreased vital capacity, tidal volume, lung compliance, PaO2; increased work of breathing, mechanical impedance of chest wall, pCO2
  - Neurological: possible increased ICP
  - GI: shift in abdominal contents; increased abdominal pressure; impaired diaphragmatic function; impeded lung expansion

- 1990s research showed 80% of respondents would consider using Trendelenburg for hypotension (despite 4 decades of research)
- Repeat survey needed

# Gastric Residual Volume and Aspiration Risk

- Problems
  - Measurement inaccuracy: syringe size may not aspirate all contents, may collapse tube; position of tube; position of patient
  - What is a high GRV?
    - Saliva & gastric fluid production = 188ml/hour
      - Withholding feedings for < 188 ml is inappropriate</li>
  - Aspiration can occur with GRV <u>5ml 500 ml</u>
  - Other reasons for aspiration
  - Little evidence for stopping or holding feeding 2<sup>nd</sup> position change for procedures
  - Little evidence for discarding GRV as high as <u>400 ml</u>
  - Patients are underfed  $\rightarrow$  malnourished

## Gastric Residual Volume and Aspiration Risk (cont)

• ASPEN Practice Guidelines

#### Memorial Hermann The Woodlands ICU

- Miranda Kelly, DNP, APRN, ACNP-BC
  - Capstone Project

#### **Assessment of Body Temperature**

- Physiological temperature = core and peripheral temperature
- Core temperature = stable, reflects 60% of body mass, tightly regulated
- Peripheral = near core; may vary over time, be influenced by environmental conditions and physiological variables
- PA catheter = most accurate

#### Assessment of Body Temperature (cont)

- Site and variation from core
  - Temperature from 2 sites SHOULD vary but < 0.5 degrees</li>
  - Oral : < 0.4 degrees C</p>
  - Esophagus: < 0.1</p>
  - Bladder: < 0.2</p>
  - Rectum: < 0.3</p>
  - Temporal artery: < 0.4</p>
  - Tympanic membrane: not recommended for temperature monitoring for <u>postoperative and critically ill patients</u> (5 research studies)
    - User error
    - Patient's anatomy reduces accuracy

Assessment of Body Temperature Using Tympanic Membrane Thermometer

What about YOUR patient population?

– how would you determine?

Assessment of Body Temperature Using Tympanic Membrane Thermometer

- What about YOUR patient population?
  - –how would you determine?
- Answer: Review the Research Evidence in the Literature!!

### **Restricted "Visitation"**

- 1800s non-paying patients had restricted visitors to establish order
- 1900s paying patients non-restricted
- 1960s ICUs to protect patients and families from exhaustion
- 27 research studies found in a non-systematic review (1956-2009)

# **Restricted "Visitation"**

- Hospitals' problems (5 studies)
  - Space: interference with privacy & confidentiality
  - Conflict: crowding, traffic, loss of authority by RNs
  - Burden: caring for patient & family
- Patients
  - No effect on HR, BP; lower CV complications with patients selecting who, when, how long visitors (4 studies)
  - TBI: no increased ICP, HR, BP, RR, restlessness; some lower ICP (3 studies)
- Families
  - Reduced stress, anxiety, burden
  - Historian, protector, coach, facilitator, collaborator, caregiver, respect and support of healthcare providers (3 studies)

# Visitation

- Patients preferred < 3 visitors, 35-55 minutes, 3-4 times per day (Gonzalez, Carroll, Elliott, 2004)
- ACCM recommendations (2004)
  - Open->>flexibility for patients/families, established on case-case basis
  - Schedule determined thru collaboration of patient/family/RNs – best interest of patient
  - Encourage family presence/participation whenever possible and at comfort level for patient/family (rounds, resuscitation, care)
  - Clean, immunized pets allowed to visit



#### Safe Practice Standard – NQF

 28. Evaluate each patient upon admission, and regularly thereafter, for the risk of developing venous thromboembolism/deep vein thrombosis (VTE/DVT).
Utilize clinically appropriate, evidence-based

methods of thromboprophylaxis.

#### The Iowa Model

#### **Problem-Focused Triggers Knowledge-Focused Triggers** 1. Risk management data 1. New research or other literature 2. Process improvement data 2. National agencies or organizational 3. Internal/external benchmarking data standards & guidelines 4. Financial data 3. Philosophies of care 5. Identification of clinical problem 4. Questions from Institutional Standards Committee Is this topic Consider a priority for No other the triggers organization? Yes Form a team Assemble relevant research & related literature Critique & synthesize research for use in practice there a Yes No sufficient research base? Pilot the change in practice: 1. Select outcomes to be achieved Conduct Base practice on other types 2. Collect baseline data of evidence: research 3. Design evidence-based 1. Case reports practice (EBP) guideline(s) 2. Expert opinion 4. Implement EBP on pilot units 3. Scientific principles 5. Evaluate process & outcomes 4. Theory 6. Modify the practice guideline(s) change Continue to evaluate No appropriate Yes Institute the change in practice quality of care & new for adoption knowledge in practice? Monitor & analyze structure, process, & outcome data: Environment Disseminate Staff results · Cost · Patient & family Copyright © 2008 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

#### Clinical Problem: Skin breakdown

## Memorial Hermann The Woodlands Skin Care Team

• DVT Prophylaxis and Skin Breakdown

#### Implementation of EBP for DVT Prevention

- Form a team
- Review the literature; guidelines (<u>www.NGC.gov</u>)
- Select the outcome/s
- Design/develop the plan
- Select or develop a data collection instrument -Validity, reliability, etc
- Identify data collection process who, when, where, what
- Implement the evidence-based practice change
- Collect post-intervention data
- Analyze data

# DVT Prophylaxis and Skin Breakdown (cont)

• Guidelines for various patient populations

# DVT Prophylaxis and Skin Breakdown (cont)

• Practice change:

- NO MORE TEDS!

# **Take Home Points**

- Evaluate your practice
- Ask "why am I doing this when it never works?"
  - Patient outcomes, complaints
    - "I always end up with a sore arm after this medication".
      - For patients receiving IV potassium , does the use of a lidocaine patch reduce the pain compared with no lidocaine patch?
- Challenges from other care providers
  - Cleanse the open abdominal wound with betadine QID
    - What does the literature say about betadine and newly forming cells?
- New/revised procedures
  - Flush the CVC with heparin Q shift
- New technology/equipment/supplies
- Always ask what is Best Practice?
- How do you know it is Best?

Makic, VonReuden, Rauen, Chadwick (2011). Evidence-based practice habits: putting more sacred cows out to pasture. Critical Care Nurse, vol. 31(2), 38-61.

160 references on various topics